

Confinement and Superfluidity in one-dimensional Degenerate Fermionic Cold Atoms

P. Lecheminant

*Laboratoire de Physique Théorique et Modélisation, CNRS UMR 8089,
Université de Cergy-Pontoise, Site de Saint-Martin,
2 avenue Adolphe Chauvin, 95302 Cergy-Pontoise Cedex, France*

E. Boulat

Service de Physique Théorique, CEA Saclay, 91191 Gif Sur Yvette, France

P. Azaria

*Laboratoire de Physique Théorique des Liquides, Université Pierre
et Marie Curie, 4 Place Jussieu, 75256 Paris Cedex 05, France*

The physical properties of arbitrary half-integer spins $F = N - 1/2$ fermionic cold atoms trapped in a one-dimensional optical lattice are investigated by means of a low-energy approach. Two different superfluid phases are found for $F \geq 3/2$ depending on whether a discrete symmetry is spontaneously broken or not: an unconfined BCS pairing phase and a confined molecular superfluid instability made of $2N$ fermions. We propose an experimental distinction between these phases for a gas trapped in an annular geometry. The confined-unconfined transition is shown to belong to the $\mathbb{Z}_N$ generalized Ising universality class. We discuss on the possible Mott phases at $1/2N$ filling.

PACS numbers: 71.10.Fd, 03.75.Ss, 71.10.Pm

In the recent past, spectacular experimental progress has allowed to cool down alkali atoms below Fermi temperatures offering the promising perspective to study strongly correlated electronic effects, such as high-temperature superconductivity, in a new context [1]. On top of strong correlations, ultracold atomic systems provide also an opportunity to investigate the effect of spin degeneracy since in general alkali fermionic atoms have (hyperfine) spins $F > 1/2$ in their lowest hyperfine manifold. Simplest examples are spin-3/2 atoms such as ^9Be , ^{132}Cs , and ^{135}Ba atoms. In this Letter, we elucidate the generic physical features of half-integer spins $F = N - 1/2$ fermionic cold atoms in the particular case of a one-dimensional optical lattice. The low-energy physical properties of these systems are known to be described by a Hubbard-like Hamiltonian [2]:

$$\mathcal{H} = -t \sum_{i,\alpha} \left[c_{\alpha,i}^\dagger c_{\alpha,i+1} + \text{H.c.} \right] + \sum_{i,J} U_J \sum_{M=-J}^J P_{JM,i}^\dagger P_{JM,i}, \quad (1)$$

where $c_{\alpha,i}^\dagger$ ($\alpha = 1, \dots, 2N$) is the fermion creation operator corresponding to the $2F + 1 = 2N$ atomic states. The pairing operators in Eq. (1) are defined through the Clebsch-Gordan coefficient for two indistinguishable particles: $P_{JM,i}^\dagger = \sum_{\alpha\beta} \langle JM | F, F; \alpha, \beta \rangle c_{\alpha,i}^\dagger c_{\beta,i}^\dagger$. The interactions are $\text{SU}(2)$ spin-conserving and depend on U_J parameters corresponding to the total spin of two spin- F particles which takes only even integers value due to Pauli's principle: $J = 0, 2, \dots, 2N - 2$. Even in this simple scheme the interaction pattern is still involved. It is thus highly desirable to focus on a much simpler paradigmatic model that incorporates the relevant physics of higher-spin degeneracy. In this respect, we

consider a two coupling-constant version of model (1) with $U_2 = \dots = U_{2N-2} \neq U_0$:

$$\mathcal{H} = -t \sum_{i,\alpha} [c_{\alpha,i}^\dagger c_{\alpha,i+1} + \text{H.c.}] + \frac{U}{2} \sum_i \rho_i^2 + V \sum_i \pi_i^\dagger \pi_i, \quad (2)$$

$\rho_i = \sum_\alpha c_{\alpha,i}^\dagger c_{\alpha,i}$ being the particle number operator and $U = 2U_2$, $V = U_0 - U_2$. In Eq. (2), the singlet BCS pairing operator for spin- F fermions is $\pi_i^\dagger = \sqrt{2N} P_{00,i}^\dagger = c_{\alpha,i}^\dagger \mathcal{J}_{\alpha\beta} c_{\beta,i}^\dagger$, the matrix $\mathcal{J}$ being the natural generalization of the familiar antisymmetric tensor $\epsilon = i\sigma_2$ to spin $F > 1/2$. Such a singlet-pairing operator has been extensively studied in the context of two-dimensional frustrated quantum magnets [3]. When $V = 0$, i.e. $U_0 = U_2$, model (2) corresponds to the $\text{SU}(2N)$ Hubbard model. The Hamiltonian (2) for $V \neq 0$ still displays a large symmetry since it is invariant under the $\text{Sp}(2N)$ group which consists of unitary matrices U that satisfy $U^* \mathcal{J} U^\dagger = \mathcal{J}$ [4]. In the spin $F = 1/2$ case, model (2) reduces to the $\text{SU}(2)$ Hubbard chain since $\text{SU}(2) \simeq \text{Sp}(2)$. In the spin-3/2 case, models (2) and (1) have an exact $\text{SO}(5) \simeq \text{Sp}(4)$ symmetry [4]. This $\text{Sp}(2N)$ symmetry considerably simplifies the problem but may appear rather artificial. However, we expect that, for generic and small interactions, the original $\text{SU}(2)$ spin-rotational invariance will be dynamically enlarged at sufficiently low energy [5, 6]. A second reason to consider the $\text{Sp}(2N)$ symmetric model (2) stems from the fact that the $\text{Sp}(2N)$ and $\text{SU}(2)$ groups share the same center, the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ group. Moreover, the striking physical properties of the system rely on the existence of a $\mathbb{Z}_N$ symmetry which is *also* a symmetry of the $\text{SU}(2)$ model (1). This $\mathbb{Z}_N$ symmetry, that simply amounts to a global redefinition of the fermion

terms of real fermions which has been extensively used in the context of two-leg ladders [7]. Introducing real fermions $\xi_{R,L}^0$ and $\xi_{R,L}^a$, $a = 1, \dots, 5$ to describe respectively the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ and $\text{SO}(5)_1 \simeq \text{Sp}(4)_1$ CFTs, the interacting part of Eq. (5) becomes $\mathcal{H}_s^{\text{int}} = g_{\parallel}(\xi_R^a \xi_L^a)^2 + g_{\perp} \xi_R^0 \xi_L^0 \xi_R^a \xi_L^a$. The latter model has been studied recently to describe a $\text{SO}(5)$ symmetric two-leg ladder [10]. For generic N , the phase diagram of Eq. (5) can be elucidated by means of a two-loop renormalization group (RG) analysis. As depicted in Fig. 1 it consists of three regions [11]. Region I is a generalized spin-density-wave (SDW) phase which is obtained when U and V are positive. In that case, $g_{\perp, \parallel} \rightarrow 0$ in the infrared (IR) limit and the interaction is marginal irrelevant. Up to a spin-velocity anisotropy, the low-energy properties of this phase are similar to that of the repulsive $\text{SU}(2N)$ Hubbard chain with $2N - 1$ gapless spin excitations [12, 13]. In contrast, a spin gap opens in the two remaining regions which are distinguished by the $\mathbb{Z}_N$ symmetry. In phase II, defined by $U < 0$ and $V > NU/2$, the RG flow in the far IR limit is attracted along a special symmetric ray $g_{\parallel} = g_{\perp} = g^* > 0$ where the interacting part of the Hamiltonian (5) can be rewritten in a $\text{SU}(2N)$ invariant form:

$$\mathcal{H}_s^{\text{int}} = g^* \left(I_{\parallel R}^a I_{\parallel L}^a + I_{\perp R}^i I_{\perp L}^i \right) = g^* I_R^A I_L^A. \quad (6)$$

The Hamiltonian (6), which governs the IR properties of phase II, takes the form of the $\text{SU}(2N)$ Gross-Neveu (GN) model which is an integrable massive field theory [14]. It is instructive to have a simpler understanding of the spin-gap formation from the underlying $\mathbb{Z}_N$ Ising model. The $\mathbb{Z}_N$ and $\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}_N$ symmetries that define the low-T and high-T phases of this Ising model admit a representation in terms of the fermions $(R, L)_{\alpha}$. Indeed, the $\mathbb{Z}_N$ group (3) can be generated in this chiral fermion basis with help of the unitary operator $\mathcal{U} = e^{i\pi N/N}$: $\mathcal{U} R(L)_{\alpha} \mathcal{U}^{\dagger} = e^{i\pi/N} R(L)_{\alpha}$. Similarly, the dual $\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}_N$ symmetry can be defined by $\tilde{\mathcal{U}} = e^{i\pi \mathcal{J}/N}$: $\tilde{\mathcal{U}} R(L)_{\alpha} \tilde{\mathcal{U}}^{\dagger} = e^{\mp i\pi/N} R(L)_{\alpha}$. The ground state of model (6) displays long-range order associated with the order parameter $\text{Tr}(g) = R_{\alpha}^{\dagger} L_{\alpha} e^{i\sqrt{2\pi/N}\Phi}$. In phase II, we find that the $\mathbb{Z}_{2N} = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_N$ symmetry remains unbroken while $\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}_N$ is spontaneously broken. The $\mathbb{Z}_N$ Ising model thus belongs to its high-T phase and a spectral gap is formed. In the second spin-gapped phase (III) of Fig. 1, defined by $V < 0$ and $V < NU/2$, the RG flow is now attracted along the asymptote: $g_{\parallel} = -g_{\perp} = g^* > 0$. In that case, the interacting part of the IR Hamiltonian becomes

$$\mathcal{H}_s^{\text{int}} = g^* \left(I_{\parallel R}^a I_{\parallel L}^a - I_{\perp R}^i I_{\perp L}^i \right), \quad (7)$$

which can be recast as a $\text{SU}(2N)$ GN model (6) by means of a *duality* transformation $\mathcal{D}$ on the fermions: $\mathcal{D} R(L) \mathcal{D}^{-1} = \tilde{R}(\tilde{L})$ with $\tilde{R}_{\alpha} = \mathcal{J}_{\alpha\beta} R_{\beta}^{\dagger}$ and $\tilde{L}_{\alpha} = L_{\alpha}$. This transformation acts on the currents as: $\tilde{I}_{\parallel R(L)}^a = I_{\parallel R(L)}^a$ and $\tilde{I}_{\perp R(L)}^i = -(+)\tilde{I}_{\perp R(L)}^i$ so that $\mathcal{D}$ indeed maps

(7) onto (6). Besides the opening of a spectral gap, we thus find that phase III possesses a hidden symmetry at low energy i.e. a $\tilde{\text{SU}}(2N)$ symmetry generated by the dual currents $(\tilde{I}_{\parallel R(L)}^a, \tilde{I}_{\perp R(L)}^i)$. In fact, one has $\mathcal{D} \mathcal{U} \mathcal{D}^{-1} = \tilde{\mathcal{U}}$ so that $\mathcal{D}$ identifies to the KW duality of the $\mathbb{Z}_N$ Ising model. In phase III, the latter model thus belongs to its low-T phase and the $\mathbb{Z}_N$ symmetry is spontaneously broken whereas $\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}_{2N} = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \tilde{\mathbb{Z}}_N$ remains unbroken. In summary, the existence of these two distinct spin-gapped phases is a non-trivial consequence of higher-spin degeneracy and does not occur in the $F = 1/2$ case. The emergence of the spin-gap stems from the spontaneous breakdown of the $\mathbb{Z}_N$ or $\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}_N$ discrete symmetries. As we shall see now, these symmetries are central to the striking physical properties displayed by these phases.

-Spin superfluidity. The low-energy properties of the spin sector of phase II can be extracted from the integrability of the $\text{SU}(2N)$ GN model (6). Its spectrum consists into $2N - 1$ branches that transform in the $\text{SU}(2N)$ representations [14]. These eigenstates are labelled by quantum numbers associated with the conserved quantities of the $\text{SU}(2N)$ low-energy symmetry: $Q_{\parallel}^a = \int dx (I_{\parallel L}^a + I_{\parallel R}^a)$, $a = (1, \dots, N)$ and $Q_{\perp}^i = \int dx (I_{\perp L}^i + I_{\perp R}^i)$, $i = (1, \dots, N - 1)$. Due to the $\text{Sp}(2N)$ symmetry of model (2), the $Q_{\parallel}^a$ numbers are conserved whereas the $Q_{\perp}^i$ charges are only good quantum numbers at low energy. The spin spectrum in phase III can be obtained from the duality symmetry $\mathcal{D}$ and consists into $2N - 1$ branches which transform in the representations of the dual group $\tilde{\text{SU}}(2N)$. The dual quantum numbers are now given by: $\tilde{Q}_{\parallel}^a = Q_{\parallel}^a$ and $\tilde{Q}_{\perp}^i = \int dx (\tilde{I}_{\perp L}^i + \tilde{I}_{\perp R}^i) = \int dx (I_{\perp L}^i - I_{\perp R}^i) = J_{\perp}^i$. We thus observe that the low-lying excitations in phase III carry quantized spin *currents* in the “ $\perp$ ” direction. In this sense, the phase III might be viewed as a partially spin-superfluid phase.

-Confinement. We shall now determine the nature of the dominant electronic instabilities of the different phases of Fig. 1. To this end let us consider operators $\mathcal{O}_{n,j}$ which carry particle number n and current j : $[\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{J}), \mathcal{O}_{n,j}] = n(j)\mathcal{O}_{n,j}$. Using the $\mathbb{Z}_{2N}$ and $\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}_{2N}$ generators, $\mathcal{U}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}$, we find that $\mathcal{O}_{n,j}$ carry $\mathbb{Z}_{2N}$ and $\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}_{2N}$ charges n and j respectively. In phase II, the full $\mathbb{Z}_{2N} = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_N$ symmetry (3) is unbroken so that it costs a finite energy gap to excite states that either break the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ or $\mathbb{Z}_N$ symmetries. The dominant instabilities must thus be neutral under the $\mathbb{Z}_{2N}$ symmetry and the resulting order parameters $\mathcal{O}_{n,j}$ are characterized by $n = 0 \bmod 2N$ and $j = 0 \bmod 2$. In particular, there is no quasi-long-range BCS order in phase II since the lattice singlet pairing operator $\pi_i^{\dagger}$ carries a charge $n = 2$ under the $\mathbb{Z}_{2N}$ symmetry (3). The $\mathbb{Z}_{2N}$ symmetry thus confines the electronic charge to multiple of $2Ne$ i.e. the leading superfluid instability in phase II

is a composite object made of $2N$ fermions. In this respect, the dominant order parameters in phase II are: $\rho_{2k_F} = L^\dagger_\alpha R_\alpha$ and $\Pi_0^{2N\dagger} = \epsilon^{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_{2N}} R_{\alpha_1}^\dagger \dots R_{\alpha_N}^\dagger L_{\beta_1}^\dagger \dots L_{\beta_N}^\dagger$ which are, respectively, the $2k_F$ component of the atomic density ρ and the uniform component of the lattice $SU(2N)$ -singlet superconducting instability made of $2N$ fermions: $\Pi_0^{2N\dagger}(i) = \epsilon^{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_{2N}} c_{\alpha_1, i}^\dagger \dots c_{\alpha_{2N}, i}^\dagger$. These orders are power-law fluctuating: $\langle \rho_{2k_F}^\dagger(x) \rho_{2k_F}(0) \rangle \sim x^{-K_d/N}$, and $\langle \Pi_0^{2N\dagger}(x) \Pi_0^{2N}(0) \rangle \sim x^{-N/K_d}$. For $K_d < N$, the leading instability is ρ_{2k_F} which gives rise to an atomic-density wave (ADW) phase whereas for $K_d > N$ a $SU(2N)$ molecular-superfluid (MS) phase is stabilized (see Fig. 1) with order parameter $\Pi_0^{2N\dagger}$. The properties of phase III are obtained from those of phase II with help of the duality symmetry $\mathcal{D} : (\mathcal{N} \leftrightarrow \mathcal{J}, \mathbb{Z}_N \leftrightarrow \tilde{\mathbb{Z}}_N, K_d \leftrightarrow 1/K_d)$. Low-energy excitations in phase III carry now $n = 0 \bmod 2$ and $j = 0 \bmod 2N$ since the symmetry $\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}_{2N} = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \tilde{\mathbb{Z}}_N$ remains unbroken. We find now the confinement of atomic currents and the emergence of a quasi-long-range BCS pairing phase. Under the duality $\mathcal{D}$ symmetry, the ADW phase is mapped onto a BCS phase for $K_d > 1/N$ with order parameter $\pi_0^\dagger = R_\alpha^\dagger J_{\alpha\beta} L_\beta^\dagger$ whereas the MS phase is mapped onto a molecular density-wave (MDW) phase with order parameter $\tilde{\rho}_{2Nk_F}^{2N} = \epsilon^{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_{2N}} J_{\alpha_1 \gamma_1} \dots J_{\alpha_N \gamma_N} R_{\gamma_1} \dots R_{\gamma_N} L_{\beta_1}^\dagger \dots L_{\beta_N}^\dagger$ which emerges when $K_d < 1/N$. The spontaneous breaking of the $\mathbb{Z}_N$ symmetry (3) thus accounts for the emergence of the BCS superfluid phase and the spin-superfluidity phenomenon discussed above. The possible occurrence of two different superfluid phases II and III may be probed experimentally. Consider for example a gas trapped in an optical potential of length L , with an *annular* geometry and moving with tangential velocity V . This amounts to imposing a total particle current in the system $J = 4NV/V_0$ where $V_0 = h/mL$. In the superfluid phase III since the low energy excitations carry currents $j = 0 \bmod 2N$ we expect the total energy $E(V)$ to display degenerate minima for quantized velocities: $V_n = nV_0/2$ irrespective of the value of the spin F . In contrast in the phase II where currents are unconfined, we expect the degenerate minima of $E(V)$ at $V_n = nV_0/2N$.

- *The $\mathbb{Z}_N$ phase transition.* The nature of the quantum phase transition between the two spin-gapped phases II and III can be determined through the duality symmetry $\mathcal{D}$. On the self-dual line $g_\perp = 0$, i.e. $2V = NU$, there is a separation of the $Sp(2N)$ and $\mathbb{Z}_N$ degrees of freedom. Though the $Sp(2N)$ sector remains gapfull when $U < 0$, the effective $\mathbb{Z}_N$ Ising model is at its self-dual critical point and governs the phase transition. The $\mathbb{Z}_N$ quantum criticality for $N = 2, 3$ may be revealed by considering the ratio $\mathcal{R}_N(x) = (\langle \pi_0^\dagger(x) \pi_0(0) \rangle)^{N^2} / \langle \Pi_0^{2N\dagger}(x) \Pi_0^{2N}(0) \rangle$ which displays a power-law decay with a *universal* exponent: $\mathcal{R}_N(x) \sim x^{-2N(N-1)/(N+2)}$. For larger N the phase transition is non-universal. For $N \geq 4$, a strongly relevant perturbation is indeed generated which takes the

form of the second thermal operator ϵ_2 of the $\mathbb{Z}_N$ CFT with scaling dimension $12/(N+2)$ [9]. The resulting model is integrable and the transition is either of first-order or in the $U(1)$ universality class depending on N and the sign of the coupling constant of ϵ_2 [15]. In the $N = 4$ case, i.e. a special case of the Ashkin-Teller model, the $\mathbb{Z}_4$ criticality can emerge with the introduction of the interaction $\lambda \sum_i (P_{00,i}^\dagger P_{00,i})^2$ which can eliminate the operator ϵ_2 by a fine-tuning of λ .

- *Mott phases.* At the commensurate $1/2N$ filling, i.e. one atom per site, an umklapp term $\sim \cos(\sqrt{8\pi N}\Phi)$ is generated in the density sector and becomes relevant when $K_d < 1/N$ leading to the opening of a density gap. We further distinguish between three different Mott phases [16]. The first one lies in the SDW region I and is qualitatively similar to the one encountered in the pure $SU(2N)$ Hubbard chain [13]. The two others have a spin gap and can be distinguished with respect to the confinement properties of atomic currents. In the region II we find for large enough V a $2k_F$ -ordered ADW and spin-Peierls ordering with a $2N$ ground-state degeneracy. In the region III the cross-over line between BCS and MDW phases identifies to the Mott transition line. At filling $1/2N$, while the BCS phase remains, the MDW regime locks into a $2Nk_F$ MDW and displays a dimerized bond ordering for all N . This Mott phase is unusual since there is no one-particle density long-range fluctuation due to the confinement of atomic currents. Remarkably enough we find that this MDW Mott phase is the only gapped phase directly connected to the BCS superfluid phase.

-
- [1] W. Hofstadter, J. I. Cirac, P. Zoller, E. Demler, and M. D. Lukin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 220407 (2002).
 - [2] T.-L. Ho, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 742 (1998).
 - [3] N. Read and S. Sachdev, Phys. Rev. Lett. **66**, 1773 (1991).
 - [4] C. Wu, J.-P. Hu, and S.-C. Zhang, Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 186402 (2003); C. Wu and S.-C. Zhang, Phys. Rev. B **71**, 155115 (2005).
 - [5] H. H. Lin, L. Balents, and M. P. A. Fisher, Phys. Rev. B **58**, 1794 (1998).
 - [6] R. Assaraf, P. Azaria, E. Boulat, M. Caffarel, and P. Lecheminant, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 016407 (2004).
 - [7] A. O. Gogolin, A. A. Nersisyan, and A. M. Tsvelik, *Bosonization and Strongly Correlated Systems* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 1998).
 - [8] D. Altschuler, Nucl. Phys. B **313**, 293 (1989).
 - [9] A. B. Zamolodchikov and V. A. Fateev, Sov. Phys. JETP **62**, 215 (1985).
 - [10] D. Controzzi and A. M. Tsvelik, Phys. Rev. B **72**, 035110 (2005).
 - [11] The phase diagram for $N = 2$ has been obtained independently by C. Wu, cond-mat/0409247 and P. Azaria, E. Boulat, and P. Lecheminant: International Workshop on Frustrated Magnetism, Montauk, September 2004: <http://www.cmth.bnl.gov/workshop/Frustrated->

Magnetism04/Talks/PAzaria/coldatoms.pdf

- [12] I. Affleck, Nucl. Phys. B **305**, 582 (1988).
- [13] R. Assaraf, P. Azaria, M. Caffarel, and P. Lecheminant, Phys. Rev. B **60**, 2299 (1999).
- [14] N. Andrei and J. H. Lowenstein, Phys. Lett. B **90**, 106 (1980).
- [15] V. A. Fateev, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **6**, 2109 (1991).
- [16] The Mott phases in the $N = 2$ case at quarter-filling have also been found independently by C. Wu, private communications.